

ASPEN COURSEBOOK SERIES

# LAW AND NEUROSCIENCE

**Second Edition**

**OWEN D. JONES**

*Glenn M. Weaver, M.D. and Mary Ellen Weaver Chair  
in Law, Brain, and Behavior  
Professor of Law & Professor of Biological Sciences  
Vanderbilt University  
Director, MacArthur Foundation Research Network  
on Law and Neuroscience*

**JEFFREY D. SCHALL**

*E. Bronson Ingram Professor of Neuroscience  
Vanderbilt University*

**FRANCIS X. SHEN**

*Professor, University of Minnesota Law School  
Executive Director, MGH Center for Law, Brain & Behavior  
Instructor in Psychology, Harvard Medical School*



Wolters Kluwer

Copyright © 2021 CCH Incorporated. All Rights Reserved.

Published by Wolters Kluwer in New York.

Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory U.S. serves customers worldwide with CCH, Aspen Publishers, and Kluwer Law International products. ([www.WKLegaledu.com](http://www.WKLegaledu.com))

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or utilized by any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publisher. For information about permissions or to request permissions online, visit us at [www.WKLegaledu.com](http://www.WKLegaledu.com), or a written request may be faxed to our permissions department at 212-771-0803.

Cover image: [iStock.com/metamorworks](https://www.istock.com/metamorworks)

To contact Customer Service, e-mail [customer.service@wolterskluwer.com](mailto:customer.service@wolterskluwer.com), call 1-800-234-1660, fax 1-800-901-9075, or mail correspondence to:

Wolters Kluwer  
Attn: Order Department  
PO Box 990  
Frederick, MD 21705

Printed in the United States of America.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0

ISBN 978-1-5438-0109-5

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Jones, Owen D., author. | Schall, Jeffrey D., author. | Shen, Francis X. (Professor) author.

Title: Law and neuroscience / Owen D. Jones, Glenn M. Weaver, M.D. and Mary Ellen Weaver Chair in Law, Brain, and Behavior, Professor of Law & Professor of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Director, MacArthur Foundation Research Network on Law and Neuroscience; Jeffrey D. Schall, E. Bronson Ingram Professor of Neuroscience, Vanderbilt University; Francis X. Shen, Professor, University of Minnesota Law School, Executive Director, MGH Center for Law, Brain & Behavior, Instructor in Psychology, Harvard Medical School.

Description: Second edition. | New York : Wolters Kluwer, [2021] | Series: Aspen coursebook series | Includes bibliographical references and index. | Summary: "Coursebook on law and neuroscience, including the bearing of neuroscience on criminal law, criminal procedure, and evidence" — Provided by publisher.

Identifiers: LCCN 2020030500 (print) | LCCN 2020030501 (ebook) | ISBN 9781543801095 (paperback) | ISBN 9781543823318 (ebook)

Subjects: LCSH: Practice of law—Psychological aspects. | Law—Philosophy. | Cognitive neuroscience. | LCGFT: Coursebooks (Law)

Classification: LCC K346 .J66 2021 (print) | LCC K346 (ebook) | DDC 340/.19—dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020030500>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020030501>

## **About Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory U.S.**

Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory U.S. delivers expert content and solutions in the areas of law, corporate compliance, health compliance, reimbursement, and legal education. Its practical solutions help customers successfully navigate the demands of a changing environment to drive their daily activities, enhance decision quality and inspire confident outcomes.

Serving customers worldwide, its legal and regulatory portfolio includes products under the Aspen Publishers, CCH Incorporated, Kluwer Law International, ftwilliam.com and MediRegs names. They are regarded as exceptional and trusted resources for general legal and practice-specific knowledge, compliance and risk management, dynamic workflow solutions, and expert commentary.

# SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

<i>Contents</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>xxvii</i>
<i>Acknowledgments for the Second Edition</i>	<i>xxix</i>
<i>Acknowledgments for the First Edition</i>	<i>xxxv</i>
<i>Conventions</i>	<i>xxxix</i>
<i>Additional Resources</i>	<i>xli</i>
<b>PART 1</b>	
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1. Law and Neuroscience: An Overview of Issues	3
2. The Case of the Murdering Brain	39
<b>PART 2</b>	
<b>FUNDAMENTALS OF COGNITIVE NEUROSCIENCE</b>	<b>69</b>
3. Brain Structure and Brain Function	71
4. Brain Monitoring and Manipulation	101
5. Limits and Cautions	127
<b>PART 3</b>	
<b>BRAIN, BEHAVIOR, AND RESPONSIBILITY</b>	<b>151</b>
6. Relationships of Law, Science, and Behavior	153
7. Behavior, Responsibility, and Punishment: Views from Law and Neuroscience	181
8. Neuroscience in the Courtroom: Assessing Scientific Evidence	231
<b>PART 4</b>	
<b>CORE THEMES IN LAW AND NEUROSCIENCE</b>	<b>303</b>
<b>A. THE INJURED BRAIN</b>	<b>305</b>
9. Brain Death	305
10. Brain Injury	347
11. Pain and Distress	397
12. Addicted Brains	441
<b>B. THE THINKING AND FEELING BRAIN</b>	<b>505</b>
13. Memory	505
14. Emotions	559
15. Lie Detection	597
16. Judging	661
	<b>ix</b>

<b>C. THE DEVELOPING AND AGING BRAIN</b>	<b>693</b>
17. Adolescent Brains	693
18. Aging Brains	749
<b>PART 5</b>	
<b>THE FUTURE</b>	<b>785</b>
19. Cognitive Enhancement	787
20. Brain-Machine Interface and Law	823
21. Artificial Intelligence, Robots, and Law	847
<i>Appendix: How to Read a Brain Imaging Study</i>	885
<i>Table of Cases</i>	921
<i>Glossary</i>	923
<i>Author &amp; Name Index</i>	939
<i>Subject Index</i>	959

# CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	xxvii
<i>Acknowledgments for the Second Edition</i>	xxix
<i>Acknowledgments for the First Edition</i>	xxxv
<i>Conventions</i>	xxxix
<i>Additional Resources</i>	xli
<b>PART 1</b>	
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1. Law and Neuroscience: An Overview of the Issues</b>	<b>3</b>
Introduction	3
A. Introducing Neuroscientific Evidence	5
Virginia Hughes, <i>Science in Court: Head Case</i>	5
<i>Van Middlesworth v. Century Bank &amp; Trust Co.</i>	10
Adam Lamparello, <i>Using Cognitive Neuroscience to Predict Future Dangerousness</i>	12
B. Intersections with Law	16
Owen D. Jones & Anthony D. Wagner, <i>Law and Neuroscience: Progress, Promise, and Pitfalls</i>	16
Morris B. Hoffman, <i>Nine Neurolaw Predictions</i>	29
Francis X. Shen, <i>Law and Neuroscience 2.0</i>	31
<b>2. The Case of the Murdering Brain</b>	<b>39</b>
Introduction	39
A. People v. Weinstein	41
<i>People v. Weinstein</i>	41
B. Inside the Murdering Brain	51
Norman Relkin et al., <i>Impulsive Homicide Associated with an Arachnoid Cyst and Unilateral Frontotemporal Cerebral Dysfunction</i>	51
Daniel A. Martell, <i>Causal Relation Between Brain Damage and Homicide: The Prosecution</i>	52
C. Neuroscience and Legal Excuse	60
Zachary Weiss, <i>The Legal Admissibility of Positron Emission Tomography Scans in Criminal Cases: People v. Spyder Cystkopf</i>	60
Stephen J. Morse, <i>Brain and Blame</i>	62

<b>PART 2</b>	
<b>FUNDAMENTALS OF COGNITIVE NEUROSCIENCE</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>3. Brain Structure and Brain Function</b>	<b>71</b>
Introduction	71
A. Orientation and Location in the Brain	73
B. Subsystems of the Nervous System	75
C. Cells of the Nervous System	78
1. Neurons	78
2. Glia	81
D. Where Brains Come From	81
E. Organization of the Human Cerebral Cortex	83
1. Overview	83
2. Frontal Lobe	85
3. Insula	88
4. Temporal Lobe	89
5. Parietal Lobe	89
6. Occipital Lobe	90
F. Organization of Subcortical Systems	91
1. Limbic System	91
2. Basal Ganglia	93
3. Thalamus	93
4. Hypothalamus & Pituitary Gland	94
5. Brainstem—Cerebellum, Pons, & Medulla	94
6. Spinal Cord	94
G. Blood Supply to the Brain	95
H. How Neurons Communicate	96
<b>4. Brain Monitoring and Manipulation</b>	<b>101</b>
Introduction	101
A. Brain Structure	103
1. X-Ray	103
2. Computed Axial Tomography	103
3. Magnetic Resonance Imaging	103
4. Diffusion Tensor Imaging	105
B. Brain Function	106
1. Introduction	106
2. Measuring Brain Electrical Signals	107
3. Positron Emission Tomography and Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography	110
4. Functional MRI	112
5. Functional Near-Infrared Spectroscopy	114
6. Field-Based and Point-of-Care Neuroimaging	115
C. Task Design in Functional Imaging	116
1. Introduction	116
2. Functional Decomposition and Experimental Design	116
3. Task Types	117
4. Blocked Designs	118

5. Event-Related Designs	118
6. Multi-Voxel Pattern Analysis	119
D. Human Brain Manipulation	119
1. Brain Damage	119
2. Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation	122
3. Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation	123
4. Direct Brain Stimulation	123
5. Pharmacological Manipulation	124
<b>5. Limits and Cautions</b>	<b>127</b>
Introduction	127
A. General Limits and Cautions	129
1. Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging	129
Owen D. Jones et al., <i>Brain Imaging for Legal Thinkers: A Guide for the Perplexed</i>	129
2. Electroencephalography	132
B. Methodological and Analytical Limitations of fMRI	136
1. Limitations in Measurement	136
2. Limitations of fMRI Analysis Procedures	137
a. The Dangers of Multiple Analysis Alternatives	137
Joshua Carp, <i>On the Plurality of (Methodological) Worlds: Estimating the Analytic Flexibility of fMRI Experiments</i>	137
b. The Risk of False Positives in fMRI data	140
Matthew D. Lieberman et al., <i>Correlations in Social Neuroscience Aren't Voodoo: Commentary on Vul et al.</i>	141
C. Critical Perspectives	144
Joseph H. Baskin, Judith G. Edersheim & Bruce H. Price, <i>Is a Picture Worth a Thousand Words? Neuroimaging in the Courtroom</i>	145
Teneille Brown & Emily Murphy, <i>Through a Scanner Darkly: Functional Neuroimaging as Evidence of a Criminal Defendant's Past Mental States</i>	145
<b>PART 3</b>	
<b>BRAIN, BEHAVIOR, AND RESPONSIBILITY</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>6. Relationships of Law, Science, and Behavior</b>	<b>153</b>
A. Law and Science	153
B. Connecting Law, Science, and Behavior	155
1. The Level of the Group	155
Owen D. Jones & Timothy H. Goldsmith, <i>Law and Behavioral Biology</i>	157
2. The Level of the Individual	165
The MacArthur Foundation Research Network on Law and Neuroscience, <i>G2i: A Knowledge Brief</i>	166
C. Talking Across Disciplines	170
Joshua W. Buckholtz, Valerie Reyna & Christopher Slobogin, <i>A Neuro-Legal Lingua Franca: Bridging Law and Neuroscience on the Issue of Self-Control</i>	171



<b>7. Behavior, Responsibility, and Punishment: Views from Law and Neuroscience</b>	<b>181</b>
Introduction	181
Patricia Churchland, <i>The Big Questions: Do We Have Free Will?</i>	182
A. Law's View of the Person	183
Stephen Morse, <i>Neuroscience and the Future of Personhood and Responsibility</i>	183
Uri Maoz & Gideon Yaffe, <i>What Does Recent Neuroscience Tell Us About Criminal Responsibility?</i>	188
B. Determinism	189
Owen D. Jones, <i>The End of (Discussing) Free Will</i>	190
Michael S. Gazzaniga, <i>Free Will Is an Illusion, but You're Still Responsible for Your Actions</i>	191
Paul Bloom, <i>Free Will Does Not Exist. So What?</i>	192
Patricia Churchland, <i>The Big Questions: Do We Have Free Will?</i>	192
C. The Disappearing Person	195
Joshua Greene & Jonathan Cohen, <i>For the Law, Neuroscience Changes Nothing and Everything</i>	195
Robert M. Sapolsky, <i>The Frontal Cortex and the Criminal Justice System</i>	204
Adam J. Kolber, <i>Free Will as a Matter of Law</i>	211
Natalie S. Gordon & Mark R. Fondacaro, <i>Rethinking the Voluntary Act Requirement: Implications from Neuroscience and Behavioral Science Research</i>	213
Peter A. Alces, <i>The Moral Conflict of Law and Neuroscience</i>	214
Dennis Patterson, <i>Review of The Moral Conflict of Law and Neuroscience by Peter A. Alces</i>	214
Peter A. Alces, <i>'Neurophobia', a Reply to Patterson</i>	215
D. Punishment and Neurointervention	216
1. Solitary Confinement	217
Francis X. Shen, <i>Neuroscience, Artificial Intelligence, and the Case Against Solitary Confinement</i>	217
Expert Report of Matthew D. Lieberman <i>in Ashker v. Governor of California</i>	217
Jules Lobel & Huda Akil, <i>Law &amp; Neuroscience: The Case of Solitary Confinement</i>	218
Federica Coppola, <i>The Brain in Solitude: An (Other) Eighth Amendment Challenge to Solitary Confinement</i>	219
2. Neurointerventions for Criminal Rehabilitation	221
Oliva Choy, Adrian Raine & Roy H. Hamilton, <i>Stimulation of the Prefrontal Cortex Reduces Intentions to Commit Aggression: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Stratified, Parallel-Group Trial</i>	221
Dietmar Hübner & Lucie White, <i>Neurosurgery for Psychopaths? An Ethical Analysis</i>	222
Jesper Ryberg, <i>Deep Brain Stimulation, Psychopaths, and Punishment</i>	223
Adam B. Shniderman & Lauren B. Solberg, <i>Cosmetic Psychopharmacology for Prisoners: Reducing Crime and Recidivism Through Cognitive Intervention</i>	224

3. Prediction of Future Criminal Behavior	225
Kent A. Kiehl et al., <i>Age of Gray Matters: Neuroprediction of Recidivism</i>	225
<b>8. Neuroscience in the Courtroom: Assessing Scientific Evidence</b>	<b>231</b>
Introduction	231
A. Neuroscience in the Courtroom	233
Darby Aono, Gideon Yaffe & Hedy Kober, <i>Neuroscientific Evidence in the Courtroom: A Review</i>	233
Nita A. Farahany, <i>Neuroscience and Behavioral Genetics in U.S. Criminal Law: An Empirical Analysis</i>	235
Jennifer A. Chandler, <i>The Use of Neuroscientific Evidence in Canadian Criminal Proceedings</i>	236
Paul Catley & Lisa Claydon, <i>The Use of Neuroscientific Evidence in the Courtroom by Those Accused of Criminal Offenses in England and Wales</i>	236
C.H. de Kogel & E.J.M.C. Westgeest, <i>Neuroscientific and Behavioral Genetic Information in Criminal Cases in the Netherlands</i>	237
Matthew Ginther, <i>Neuroscience or Neurospeculation? Peer Commentary on Four Articles Examining the Prevalence of Neuroscience in Criminal Cases Around the World</i>	237
John B. Meixner, Jr., <i>The Use of Neuroscience Evidence in Criminal Proceedings</i>	238
Deborah W. Denno, <i>The Myth of the Double-Edged Sword: An Empirical Study of Neuroscience Evidence in Criminal Cases</i>	239
Lyn M. Gaudet & Gary E. Marchant, <i>Under the Radar: Neuroimaging Evidence in the Criminal Courtroom</i>	241
Valerie Gray Hardcastle, M. K. Kitzmiller & Shelby Lahey, <i>The Impact of Neuroscience Data in Criminal Cases: Female Defendants and the Double-Edged Sword</i>	242
B. <i>Frye</i> and the “General Acceptance” Test	245
<i>Frye v. United States</i>	245
C. The Federal Rules of Evidence	246
Fed. R. Evid. 401	246
Fed. R. Evid. 402	246
Fed. R. Evid. 403	247
Fed. R. Evid. 702	247
Fed. R. Evid. 703	247
Fed. R. Evid. 704	247
Fed. R. Evid. 706	247
D. The <i>Daubert</i> Trilogy and the “Gatekeeper” Approach	248
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharma., Inc.</i>	248
<i>Gen. Elec. Co. v. Joiner</i>	254
<i>Kumho Tire Co., Ltd. v. Carmichael</i>	256
Michael J. Saks & David L. Faigman, <i>Expert Evidence After Daubert</i>	256
Jane Campbell Moriarty & Daniel D. Langleben, <i>Who Speaks for Neuroscience? Neuroimaging Evidence and Courtroom Expertise</i>	258
Nancy Gertner, <i>Neuroscience and Sentencing</i>	260
E. Criminal Sentencing: The Case of Grady Nelson	264
State of Florida, <i>Motion to Exclude Testimony Regarding Quantitative Electroencephalograms (QEEG)</i>	265
Defendant’s Motion Opposing <i>Frye</i> Hearing	266

Transcript of Oral Ruling on <i>Frye</i> Hearing, <i>Florida v. Nelson</i>	269
Direct Examination of Dr. Thatcher by Defense Attorney Terry Lenamon	270
Testimony of Dr. Epstein, Questions by Assistant State Attorney Mendez	271
F. Neuroimaging and Ineffective Assistance of Counsel	275
<i>Pinholster v. Ayers</i>	275
<i>Bench v. State</i>	277
<i>Hernandez v. State</i>	279
G. Admissibility of Neuroscience Evidence	283
<i>People v. Bowman</i>	283
<i>In re Horizon Organic Milk Plus DHA Omega-3 Mktg. &amp;</i> <i>Sales Practice Litig.</i>	289
H. Effect of Brain Imaging Evidence on Judges and Jurors	293
Nicholas Scurich & Adam Shniderman, <i>The Selective Allure</i> <i>of Neuroscientific Explanations</i>	294
Martha J. Farah & Cayce J. Hook, <i>The Seductive Allure of</i> <i>“Seductive Allure”</i>	295
Adina L. Roskies, Nicholas J. Schweitzer & Michael J. Saks, <i>Neuroimages in Court: Less Biasing Than Feared</i>	296

**PART 4****CORE THEMES IN LAW AND NEUROSCIENCE 303****THE INJURED BRAIN 305**

<b>9. Brain Death</b>	<b>305</b>
Introduction	305
A. Defining Death	307
1. Historical Perspective	307
2. Defining Brain Death	308
Robert D. Truog, Thaddeus Mason Pope & David S. Jones, <i>The 50-year Legacy of the Harvard Report on Brain Death</i>	308
A Definition of Irreversible Coma: Report of the Ad Hoc Committee of the Harvard Medical School to Examine the Definition of Brain Death	309
3. Uniform Determination of Death Act	309
Uniform Determination of Death Act (1980)	310
N.Y. Comp. Codes R. & Regs. tit. 10, §400.16 (2020)	
Section 400.16. Determination of Death	310
N.J. Stat. Ann. §26:6A-1 et seq. (West 2020)	310
4. Proposed Changes to the Current System of Determination of Death by Neurologic Criteria	311
Ariane Lewis et al., <i>Determination of Death by Neurologic Criteria in</i> <i>the United States: The Case for Revising the Uniform Determination</i> <i>of Death Act</i>	311

B.	Clinical Determination of Brain Death	315
	Eelco Wijdicks, <i>The Case Against Confirmatory Tests for Determining Brain Death in Adults</i>	316
C.	Coma, Vegetative State, and Minimally Conscious State	317
	Joseph J. Fins, <i>Brain Injury: The Vegetative and Minimally Conscious States</i>	318
	David Fischer & Robert D. Truog, <i>The Problems with Fixating on Consciousness in Disorders of Consciousness</i>	321
	Thaddeus Mason Pope, <i>Brain Death Forsaken: Growing Conflict and New Legal Challenges</i>	322
D.	Brain Death in Court	326
	1. Civil Context	326
	UCSF Benioff Children's Hosp. Oakland v. Superior Court of Cal., City of Alameda	327
	Plaintiff's First Amended Motion to Compel Defendants to Remove Marlise Muñoz from "Life Sustaining" Measures and Application for Unopposed Expedited Relief	331
	2. Criminal Context	333
	People v. Eulo	333
E.	Neuroscience Perspectives on Brain Death	340
	Steven Laureys & Nicholas D. Schiff, <i>Coma and Consciousness: Paradigms (Re)Framed by Neuroimaging</i>	340
	Nicholas D. Schiff et al., <i>Behavioural Improvements with Thalamic Stimulation After Severe Traumatic Brain Injury</i>	341
	Glenn R. Butters, <i>How Neuroscience Technology Is Changing Our Understanding of Brain Injury, Vegetative States and the Law</i>	342
<b>10.</b>	<b>Brain Injury</b>	<b>347</b>
	Introduction	347
A.	Defining Brain Injury	348
	National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke & National Institute of Health, <i>Traumatic Brain Injury: Hope Through Research</i>	349
	Maya Elin O'Neil et al., <i>Complications of Mild Traumatic Brain Injury in Veterans and Military Personnel: A Systematic Review</i>	351
	NCAA Sports Science Institute, <i>Interassociation Consensus: Diagnosis and Management of Sport-Related Concussion Best Practices</i>	351
	Danielle K. Sandsmark, <i>Clinical Outcomes After Traumatic Brain Injury</i>	352
	Zoe Su Wen Gan et al., <i>Blood Biomarkers for Traumatic Brain Injury: A Quantitative Assessment of Diagnostic and Prognostic Accuracy</i>	353
	Uzma Samadani, <i>Will Eye Tracking Change the Way We Diagnose and Classify Concussion and Structural Brain Injury?</i>	353
	Nina Kraus & Travis White-Schwoch, <i>Listen to the Brain to Suss Out Concussions</i>	354
B.	Legal Duties, Assumption of Risk, and Brain Injury	356
	1. Amateur Sports	356
	Parker v. S. Broadway Athletic Club	356

2. Professional Football	361
Plaintiff's Amended Master Administrative Long-Form Complaint, <i>In re National Football League Players' Concussion     Injury Litigation</i>	361
Reply Memorandum of Defendant, <i>In re National Football     League Players' Concussion Injury Litigation</i>	363
<i>In re Nat'l Football League Players Concussion Injury Litig.</i>	364
C. Proving Brain Injury	370
1. Neuroimaging Evidence and Brain Injury	371
J. Sherrod Taylor, <i>Neurolaw and Traumatic Brain Injury:         Principles for Trial Lawyers</i>	371
Shana De Caro & Michael V. Kaplen, <i>Current Issues in Neurolaw</i>	372
<i>Marsh v. Celebrity Cruises, Inc.</i>	374
<i>Brouard v. Convery</i>	378
D. Proving Causation	382
<i>Hendrix ex rel. G.P. v. Evenflo Co.</i>	382
E. Youth Sports Concussion Litigation and Legislation	386
1. The Landscape of Youth Sports Concussions	386
Carly Rasmussen et al., <i>How Dangerous Are Youth Sports for             the Brain? A Review of the Evidence</i>	386
2. Litigation	387
<i>Mayall ex rel. H.C. v. USA Water Polo, Inc.</i>	387
3. Statutes	392
Wash. Rev. Code §28A.600.190 (2009) (The "Lystedt Law")	392
Minn. Stat. §121A.37 (2011)	393
Francis X. Shen, <i>Are Youth Sports Concussion Statutes Working?</i>	394
<b>11. Pain and Distress</b>	<b>397</b>
Introduction	397
A. Pain in Law	398
<i>Luchansky v. J.V. Parish, Inc.</i>	398
<i>Wiltz v. Barnhart</i>	399
Amanda C. Pustilnik et al., <i>Comment on the Social Security         Administration's Consideration of Pain in the Disability         Determination Process, 83 FR 64493</i>	403
B. Pain in Neuroscience	406
1. Defining Pain	406
National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, <i>Pain: Hope Through Research</i>	407
2. Detecting and Measuring Pain	409
Maite M. van der Miesen, Martin A. Lindquist & Tor D. Wager, <i>Neuroimaging-based Biomarkers for Pain: State of the Field and             Current Directions</i>	409
C. Emotional Harm and Mental Injury	414
1. Distinguishing "Bodily" and "Mental" Injuries	414
<i>Garrison v. Bickford</i>	414
<i>Allen v. Bloomfield Hills Sch. Dist.</i>	417
Francis X. Shen, <i>Sentencing Enhancement and the Crime             Victim's Brain</i>	420
Dov Fox & Alex Stein, <i>Dualism and Doctrine</i>	421

2. Damages and Mitigation	425
Francis X. Shen, <i>Monetizing Memory Science: Neuroscience and the Future of PTSD Litigation</i>	425
Adam J. Kolber, <i>Therapeutic Forgetting: The Legal and Ethical Implications of Memory Dampening</i>	426
3. Comparative Perspectives	427
Betsy J. Grey, <i>Neuroscience and Emotional Harm in Tort Law: Rethinking the American Approach to Free-Standing Emotional Distress Claims</i>	428
D. The Special Case of Fetal Pain	431
Susan J. Lee et al., <i>Fetal Pain: A Systematic Multidisciplinary Review of the Evidence</i>	432
Testimony Before the United States Congress	433
Pain-Capable Unborn Child Protection Act, 2015 La. Rev. Stat., ch. 40, art. 1061, §1 (codified at La. Stat. Ann. §40:1061.1)	433
<i>Planned Parenthood of Indiana, Inc. v. Comm’r of Indiana State Dep’t of Health</i>	435
<b>12. Addicted Brains</b>	<b>441</b>
Introduction	441
A. Defining Addiction and Dependence	443
Sara Gordon, <i>The Use and Abuse of Mutual-Support Programs in Drug Courts</i>	444
Am. Psychiatric Ass’n, <i>Substance Related and Addiction Disorders</i>	445
Nora D. Volkow et al., <i>Addiction Circuitry in the Human Brain</i>	446
Nora D. Volkow, George F. Koob & A. Thomas McLellan, <i>Neurobiologic Advances from the Brain Disease Model of Addiction</i>	447
Wayne Hall, Adrian Carter & Cynthia Forlini, <i>The Brain Disease Model of Addiction: Is It Supported by the Evidence and Has It Delivered on Its Promises?</i>	448
Sally Satel & Scott O. Lilienfeld, <i>Addiction and the Brain-Disease Fallacy</i>	449
David J. Nutt et al., <i>The Dopamine Theory of Addiction: 40 Years of Highs and Lows</i>	451
Carl L. Hart, <i>High Price: A Neuroscientist’s Journey of Self-Discovery That Challenges Everything You Know About Drugs and Society</i>	452
Richard J. Bonnie, <i>Responsibility for Addiction</i>	453
B. Addiction, Responsibility, and the Law	456
<i>Robinson v. California</i>	456
<i>Powell v. Texas</i>	459
Amicus Curiae Brief of the American Civil Liberties Union of Massachusetts, Inc. et al. in Support of the Petitioner	465
Brief of Amici Curiae of 11 Addiction Experts in Support of Appellee <i>Commonwealth v. Eldred</i>	467
<i>United States v. Hendrickson</i>	472
C. The Neurobiology of Addiction	478
Steven E. Hyman, <i>The Neurobiology of Addiction: Implications for Voluntary Control of Behavior</i>	479
Stephen J. Morse, <i>Voluntary Control of Behavior and Responsibility</i>	481

Michael S. Moore, <i>Addiction, Responsibility, and Neuroscience</i>	483
D. Policy Perspectives	489
1. Opioid Epidemic	489
<i>United States v. Walker</i>	489
2. Drug Policy, Treatment, and Rehabilitation	496
David M. Eagleman, Mark A. Correro & Jyotpal Singh, <i>Why Neuroscience Matters for Rational Drug Policy</i>	496
3. Drug Courts	500
<i>State v. Little</i>	500
Morris B. Hoffman, <i>Problem-Solving Courts and the Psycholegal Error</i>	501
Morris B. Hoffman, <i>Drug Courts and the Myth of the Addict's Diseased Brain</i>	502
<b>THE THINKING AND FEELING BRAIN</b>	<b>505</b>
<b>13. Memory</b>	<b>505</b>
Introduction	505
A. Organization and Function of Human Memory	506
O. Carter Snead, <i>Memory and Punishment</i>	506
Daniel L. Schacter, <i>The Seven Sins of Memory: Insights from Psychology and Cognitive Neuroscience</i>	512
B. Eyewitness Memory in Court	519
<i>State v. Henderson</i>	521
<i>Perry v. New Hampshire</i>	527
C. Cross-Racial Identification	536
<i>Smith v. State</i>	536
<i>People v. Boone</i>	540
D. False Memories	546
<i>State v. Michaels</i>	546
Daniel M. Bernstein & Elizabeth F. Loftus, <i>How to Tell If a Particular Memory Is True or False</i>	551
<b>14. Emotions</b>	<b>559</b>
Introduction	559
A. The Emotional Brain	560
Eric A. Posner, <i>Law and the Emotions</i>	562
Paul Gewirtz, <i>On "I Know It When I See It"</i>	564
B. The Emotional Defendant	569
<i>State v. Thornton</i>	569
<i>State v. Quick</i>	572
C. The Emotional Juror	576
<i>Booth v. Maryland</i>	576
<i>Payne v. Tennessee</i>	580
Bryan Myers, Emalee Weidemann & Gregory Pearce, <i>Psychology Weighs in on the Debate Surrounding Victim Impact Statements and Capital Sentencing: Are Emotional Jurors Really Irrational?</i>	583
D. The Emotional Judge	588
Nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to be an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States, Hearing Before the S. Comm. on the Judiciary	589

Sheryl Gay Stolberg, <i>A New Front in the Kavanaugh Wars: Temperament and Honesty</i>	591
Brett M. Kavanaugh, <i>I Am an Independent, Impartial Judge</i>	591
E. The Emotional Legislator	594
<b>15. Lie Detection</b>	<b>597</b>
Introduction	597
<i>United States v. Semrau</i>	598
<i>Selvi v. Karnataka</i>	598
A. The Polygraph	600
1. History of the Polygraph	600
Henry T. Greely & Judy Illes, <i>Neuroscience Based Lie Detection: The Urgent Need for Regulation</i>	600
2. Polygraph's Use in Court	601
<i>United States v. Matusiewicz</i>	601
<i>United States v. Scheffer</i>	602
3. Polygraph Use in National Defense	606
Office of Counterintelligence, Dep't of Energy, <i>Polygraph Examination Regulations</i>	606
B. Neuroscience-Based Lie Detection	608
1. Lie Detection Using Electroencephalography (EEG)	608
Testimony of Dr. Lawrence Farwell in <i>Harrington v. State</i>	609
Lawrence A. Farwell & Emanuel Donchin, <i>The Truth Will Out: Interrogative Polygraphy ("Lie Detection") with Event-Related Brain Potentials</i>	613
J. Peter Rosenfeld et al., <i>Simple, Effective Countermeasures to P300-Based Tests of Detection of Concealed Information</i>	617
2. Lie Detection Using fMRI	621
Francis X. Shen & Owen D. Jones, <i>Brain Scans as Evidence: Truths, Proofs, Lies, and Lessons</i>	621
<i>United States v. Semrau</i>	623
Daniel D. Langleben et al., <i>Polygraphy and Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Lie Detection: A Controlled Blind Comparison Using the Concealed Information Test</i>	636
Joshua D. Greene & Joseph M. Paxton, <i>Patterns of Neural Activity Associated with Honest and Dishonest Moral Decisions</i>	637
Anthony Wagner et al., <i>The MacArthur Foundation Research Network on Law and Neuroscience, fMRI and Lie Detection: A Knowledge Brief</i>	639
C. Critical Perspectives	642
Frederick Schauer, <i>Neuroscience, Lie-Detection, and the Law</i>	643
Henry T. Greely, <i>Premarket Approval Regulation for Lie Detection: An Idea Whose Time May Be Coming</i>	645
Michael S. Pardo, <i>Lying, Deception, and fMRI: A Critical Update</i>	647
Marc Jonathan Blitz, <i>Searching Minds by Scanning Brains: Neuroscience Technology and Constitutional Privacy Protection</i>	648
Adam J. Kolber, <i>Two Views of First Amendment Thought Privacy</i>	653
Daniel D. Langleben & Jane Campbell Moriarty, <i>Using Brain Imaging for Lie Detection: Where Science, Law, and Policy Collide</i>	654



<b>16. Judging</b>	<b>661</b>
Introduction	661
A. The Neuroscience of Punishment Decisions	662
Morris B. Hoffman & Frank Krueger, <i>The Neuroscience of Blame and Punishment</i>	665
Jessica M. Salerno & Bette L. Bottoms, <i>Emotional Evidence and Jurors' Judgments: The Promise of Neuroscience for Informing Psychology and Law</i>	675
B. Neuroscience and Racist Judgments	682
Jeffrey Kluger, <i>Race and the Brain</i>	683
Anna Spain Bradley, <i>The Disruptive Neuroscience of Judicial Choice State v. Brown</i>	683
Harrison A. Korn, Micah A. Johnson & Marvin M. Chun, <i>Neurolaw: Differential Brain Activity for Black and White Faces Predicts Damage Awards in Hypothetical Employment Discrimination Cases</i>	686
Amy Wax & Philip E. Tetlock, <i>We Are All Racists at Heart</i>	689
 <b>THE DEVELOPING AND AGING BRAIN</b>	 <b>693</b>
<b>17. Adolescent Brains</b>	<b>693</b>
Introduction	694
A. The Adolescent Brain	694
National Research Council, <i>Reforming Juvenile Justice: A Developmental Approach</i>	695
Elizabeth Scott, Natasha Duell & Laurence Steinberg, <i>Brain Development, Social Context, and Justice Policy</i>	698
B. The Administration of Juvenile Justice	706
1. Historical Background	706
Elizabeth S. Scott & Laurence Steinberg, <i>Adolescence and the Regulation of Youth Crime</i>	706
2. Competency	708
Elizabeth S. Scott & Thomas Grisso, <i>Developmental Incompetence, Due Process, and Juvenile Justice Reform</i>	708
MacArthur Foundation Research Network on Adolescent Development and Juvenile Justice, <i>Adolescent Legal Competence in Court</i>	710
3. Juvenile Transfer	711
<i>United States v. Juvenile Male #2</i>	711
C. Adolescents, Neuroscience, and Criminal Responsibility	713
Brief for the Am. Psychol. Assn., and the Mo. Psychol. Assn. as Amici Curiae Supporting Resp't in <i>Roper v. Simmons</i>	714
<i>Roper v. Simmons</i>	715
<i>Graham v. Florida</i>	722
<i>Miller v. Alabama</i>	725
Laurence Steinberg, <i>Adolescent Brain Science and Juvenile Justice Policymaking</i>	728
D. Critical Perspectives	732
Laurence Steinberg, <i>Are Adolescents Less Mature Than Adults? Minors' Access to Abortion, the Juvenile Death Penalty, and the Alleged APA "Flip-Flop"</i>	732

Terry A. Maroney, *The False Promise of Adolescent Brain Science in Juvenile Justice* 735

Francis X. Shen, *Legislating Neuroscience: The Case of Juvenile Justice* 739

E. Young Adults 742

B.J. Casey et al., The MacArthur Foundation Research Network on Law and Neuroscience, *How Should Justice Policy Treat Young Offenders?: A Knowledge Brief* 742

**18. Aging Brains 749**

Introduction 749

A. The Aging Brain 751

S. Duke Han et al., *Grey Matter Correlates of Susceptibility to Scams in Community-Dwelling Older Adults* 754

B. Competency, Capacity, and Consent 756

*Sterling v. Sterling* 756

Linda Ganzini et al., *Ten Myths About Decision-Making Capacity* 760

Lori A. Stiegel & Mary Joy Quinn, *Elder Abuse: The Impact of Undue Influence* 760

C. Aging Offenders and Aging Judges 764

1. Aging Offenders 764

*United States v. Payton* 764

*Madison v. Alabama* 766

2. Aging Judges 770

*Theile v. Michigan* 770

Francis X. Shen, *Aging Judges* 773

D. Legal Implications of Biomarkers for Dementia 776

Francis X. Shen, *Aging Judges* 776

Jalayne J. Arias & Jason Karlawish, *Confidentiality in Preclinical Alzheimer Disease Studies: When Research and Medical Records Meet* 777

Jalayne J. Arias et al., *The Proactive Patient: Long-Term Care Insurance Discrimination Risks of Alzheimer’s Disease Biomarkers* 778

Betsy J. Grey, *Aging in the 21st Century: Using Neuroscience to Assess Competency in Guardianships* 778

Joshua Preston et al., *The Legal Implications of Detecting Alzheimer’s Disease Earlier* 780

**PART 5**

**THE FUTURE 785**

**19. Cognitive Enhancement 787**

Introduction 787

A. Defining Enhancement 789

Nick Bostrom & Anders Sandberg, *Cognitive Enhancement: Methods, Ethics, Regulatory Challenges* 789

Kirsten Brukamp, *Better Brains or Bitter Brains: The Ethics of Neuroenhancement* 790

I. Glenn Cohen, *What (If Anything) Is Wrong with Human Enhancement? What (If Anything) Is Right with It?* 791

B.	Does Enhancement Work?	795
	Irena P. Ilieva, Cayce J. Hook & Martha J. Farah, <i>Prescription Stimulants' Effects on Healthy Inhibitory Control, Working Memory, and Episodic Memory: A Meta-Analysis</i>	796
1.	Transcranial direct current stimulation	797
	Paola Frati et al., <i>Smart Drugs and Synthetic Androgens for Cognitive and Physical Enhancement: Revolving Doors of Cosmetic Neurology</i>	798
C.	Enhancing Performance and Manipulating Memory	800
1.	Enhancing Performance	800
	Editorial Board, <i>Using Adderall Is a Form of Cheating</i>	800
	Christopher Meyer, <i>Let Students Use Adderall</i>	801
2.	Manipulating Memory	803
a.	Memory Dampening	804
	Adam J. Kolber, <i>Therapeutic Forgetting: The Legal and Ethical Implications of Memory Dampening</i>	804
b.	Memory Enhancement	805
	Nick Bostrom & Anders Sandberg, <i>Cognitive Enhancement: Methods, Ethics, Regulatory Challenges</i>	805
D.	The Ethics of Enhancement	808
	The President's Council on Bioethics, <i>Beyond Therapy: Biotechnology and the Pursuit of Happiness</i>	808
	Presidential Comm'n for the Study of Bioethical Issues, <i>2 Gray Matters: Topics at the Intersection of Neuroscience, Ethics, and Society</i>	812
	Henry T. Greely, <i>Remarks on Human Biological Enhancement</i>	815
<b>20.</b>	<b>Brain-Machine Interface and Law</b>	<b>823</b>
	Introduction	823
A.	Introduction to Brain-Machine Interfaces	825
	Nick Stockton, <i>Woman Controls A Fighter Jet Sim Using Only Her Mind</i>	828
B.	Ethical and Legal Implications of Neuroprosthetics	831
	Henry T. Greely, Khara M. Ramos & Christine Grady, <i>Neuroethics in the Age of Brain Projects</i>	834
	CeReB: The Center for Responsible Brainwave Technologies, <i>The Ethics of Brain Wave Technology: Issues, Principles and Guidelines</i>	835
C.	Military Applications of Brain-Machine Interface	839
	Ivan S. Kotechkov et al., <i>Brain-Computer Interfaces: Military, Neurosurgical, and Ethical Perspective</i>	839
	Michael N. Tennison & Jonathan D. Moreno, <i>Neuroscience, Ethics, and National Security: The State of the Art</i>	841
	Marcello Ienca et al., <i>From Healthcare to Warfare and Reverse: How Should We Regulate Dual-Use Neurotechnology?</i>	842
<b>21.</b>	<b>Artificial Intelligence, Robots, and Law</b>	<b>847</b>
	Introduction	847
A.	The Development of AI and Robotics	849
	Neil M. Richards & William D. Smart, <i>How Should the Law Think About Robots?</i>	851
B.	AI and the Law	856
1.	AI and the Law: Defining the Terms	856

Edwina L. Rissland, <i>Artificial Intelligence and Law: Stepping Stones to a Model of Legal Reasoning</i>	856
Harry Surden, <i>Artificial Intelligence and Law: An Overview</i>	857
Ryan Calo, <i>Robotics and the Lessons of Cyberlaw</i>	858
Deborah W. Denno & Ryan Surujnath, <i>Rise of the Machines: Artificial Intelligence, Robotics, and the Reprogramming of Law: Foreword</i>	859
2. AI and the Law: Ownership, Accountability, and Governance	860
Shlomit Yanisky-Ravid, <i>Generating Rembrandt: Artificial Intelligence, Copyright, and Accountability in the 3A Era— The Human-Like Authors Are Already Here— A New Model</i>	860
Joshua A. Kroll et al., <i>Accountable Algorithms</i>	861
Andrew Tutt, <i>An FDA for Algorithms</i>	861
The Public Voice, Electronic Privacy Information Center, <i>Universal Guidelines for Artificial Intelligence</i>	863
C. Robot Rights and Responsibilities: The Personhood of Autonomous Artificial Agents	866
Lawrence B. Solum, <i>Legal Personhood for Artificial Intelligences</i>	866
Wendell Wallach, <i>From Robots to Techno Sapiens: Ethics, Law and Public Policy in the Development of Robotics and Neurotechnologies</i>	867
Kate Darling, <i>Extending Legal Rights to Social Robots: The Effects of Anthropomorphism, Empathy, and Violent Behavior Towards Robotic Objects</i>	869
Jaap Hage, <i>Theoretical Foundations for the Responsibility of Autonomous Agents</i>	870
Samir Chopra & Laurence White, <i>Artificial Agents — Personhood in Law and Philosophy</i>	872
Jack Karsten & Darrell West, <i>The State of Self-Driving Car Laws Across the U.S.</i>	880
Autonomous Vehicle Act of 2012	881
Nat'l Highway and Transp. Safety Admin., <i>Automated Vehicles for Safety</i>	882
<b>Appendix: How to Read a Brain Imaging Study</b>	<b>885</b>
Joshua W. Buckholtz, Christopher L. Asplund, Paul E. Dux, David H. Zald, John C. Gore, Owen D. Jones & René Marois, <i>The Neural Correlates of Third-Party Punishment</i>	885
<i>Table of Cases</i>	921
<i>Glossary</i>	923
<i>Author &amp; Name Index</i>	939
<i>Subject Index</i>	959