ASPEN CASEBOOK SERIES

LAW AND NEUROSCIENCE

OWEN D. JONES
New York Alumni Chancellor’s Professor of Law &
Professor of Biological Sciences
Vanderbilt University
Director, MacArthur Foundation Research
Network on Law and Neuroscience

JEFFREY D. SCHALL
E. Bronson Ingram Professor of Neuroscience
Vanderbilt University

FRANCIS X. SHEN
McKnight Land-Grant Professor & Associate Professor
University of Minnesota Law School
About Wolters Kluwer Law & Business

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business is a leading global provider of intelligent information and digital solutions for legal and business professionals in key specialty areas, and respected educational resources for professors and law students. Wolters Kluwer Law & Business connects legal and business professionals as well as those in the education market with timely, specialized authoritative content and information-enabled solutions to support success through productivity, accuracy and mobility.


CCH products have been a trusted resource since 1913, and are highly regarded resources for legal, securities, antitrust and trade regulation, government contracting, banking, pension, payroll, employment and labor, and healthcare reimbursement and compliance professionals.

Aspen Publishers products provide essential information to attorneys, business professionals and law students. Written by preeminent authorities, the product line offers analytical and practical information in a range of specialty practice areas from securities law and intellectual property to mergers and acquisitions and pension/benefits. Aspen’s trusted legal education resources provide professors and students with high-quality, up-to-date and effective resources for successful instruction and study in all areas of the law.

Kluwer Law International products provide the global business community with reliable international legal information in English. Legal practitioners, corporate counsel and business executives around the world rely on Kluwer Law journals, looseleafs, books, and electronic products for comprehensive information in many areas of international legal practice.

Loislaw is a comprehensive online legal research product providing legal content to law firm practitioners of various specializations. Loislaw provides attorneys with the ability to quickly and efficiently find the necessary legal information they need, when and where they need it, by facilitating access to primary law as well as state-specific law, records, forms and treatises.

ftwilliam.com offers employee benefits professionals the highest quality plan documents (retirement, welfare and non-qualified) and government forms (5500/PBGC, 1099 and IRS) software at highly competitive prices.

MediRegs products provide integrated health care compliance content and software solutions for professionals in healthcare, higher education and life sciences, including professionals in accounting, law and consulting.

### CONTENTS

#### Preface
xxi

#### Acknowledgments
xxiii

#### Conventions
xxx

#### Additional Resources
xxxiii

---

#### PART 1
**INTRODUCTION**

1. Law and Neuroscience: An Overview of the Issues 3
2. Individuals: The Case of the Murdering Brain 41
3. Groups: The Case of the Adolescent Brain 69

---

#### PART 2
**BRAIN, BEHAVIOR, AND RESPONSIBILITY**

4. Relationships of Law, Science, and Behavior 103
5. Behavior and Responsibility: Views from Law and Neuroscience 121

---

#### PART 3
**FUNDAMENTALS OF COGNITIVE NEUROSCIENCE**

7. Brain Structure and Brain Function 195
8. Brain Monitoring and Manipulation 221
9. Limits and Cautions 245

---

#### PART 4
**CORE THEMES IN LAW AND NEUROSCIENCE**

10. Brain Death 269
11. Brain Injury 303
12. Pain and Distress 335

---

**THE INJURED BRAIN**

13. Memory 375
14. Emotions 421
15. Lie Detection 449
16. Judging 515

---

**THE THINKING AND FEELING BRAIN**

17. 375
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THE DEVELOPING AND ADDICTED BRAIN</th>
<th>541</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17. Adolescent Brains</td>
<td>541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Addicted Brains</td>
<td>591</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THE FUTURE</th>
<th>631</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19. Cognitive Enhancement</td>
<td>633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Brain-Machine Interface and Law</td>
<td>667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Artificial Intelligence and Law</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Appendix: How to Read a Brain Imaging Study*  
*Table of Cases*  
*Glossary*  
*Author & Name Index*  
*Subject Index*
## CONTENTS

Preface .............................................................. xxi
Acknowledgments .................................................. xxiii
Conventions .......................................................... xxxi
Additional Resources ............................................. xxxiii

### PART 1

**INTRODUCTION** 1

1. **Law and Neuroscience: An Overview of the Issues** 3
   
   Introduction 3
   
   A. Introducing Neuroscientific Evidence 5
      
      Virginia Hughes, *Science in Court: Head Case* 5
      
      *Van Middlesworth v. Century Bank & Trust Co.* 10
   
   B. The Brain on the Stand 14
      
      Jeffrey Rosen, *The Brain on the Stand* 14
   
   C. Future Possibilities for Law and Neuroscience 26
      
      Stacey Tovino, *Functional Neuroimaging and the Law: Trends and Directions for Future Scholarship* 26
      
      Nita Farahany, *The Government is Trying to Wrap Its Mind Around Yours* 36

2. **Individuals: The Case of the Murdering Brain** 41
   
   Introduction 41
   
   A. People v. Weinstein 42
      
      *People v. Weinstein* 42
   
   B. Inside the Murdering Brain 53
      
      Norman Relkin et al., *Impulsive Homicide Associated with an Arachnoid Cyst and Unilateral Frontotemporal Cerebral Dysfunction* 53
      
      Daniel A. Martell, *Causal Relation Between Brain Damage and Homicide: The Prosecution* 54
   
   C. Neuroscience and Legal Excuse 62
      
      Zachary Weiss, *The Legal Admissibility of Positron Emission Tomography Scans in Criminal Cases:* 62
      
      People v. Spyder Cystkopf 62
      
      Stephen J. Morse, *Brain and Blame* 64
3. Groups: The Case of the Adolescent Brain

Introduction

A. Roper v. Simmons

Roper v. Simmons, Brief for the American Psychological Association, and the Missouri Psychological Association as Amici Curiae Supporting Respondent

Roper v. Simmons

B. Graham v. Florida

Letter to E. Joshua Rosenkrantz from Developmental Neuroscientists

Graham v. Florida, Brief for the American Psychological Association, American Psychiatric Association, National Association of Social Workers, and Mental Health America in Support of Petitioner

Graham v. Florida

PART 2

BRAIN, BEHAVIOR, AND RESPONSIBILITY

4. Relationships of Law, Science, and Behavior

A. Law and Science

B. Connecting Law, Science, and Behavior

1. The Level of the Group

Owen D. Jones & Timothy H. Goldsmith, Law and Behavioral Biology

2. The Level of the Individual

5. Behavior and Responsibility: Views from Law and Neuroscience

Introduction

Patricia Churchland, The Big Questions: Do We Have Free Will?

A. Law’s View of the Person

Stephen Morse, Neuroscience and the Future of Personhood and Responsibility

B. Determinism

Jerry A. Coyne, You Don’t Have Free Will

Hilary Bok, Want to Understand Free Will? Don’t Look to Neuroscience

Owen D. Jones, The End of (Discussing) Free Will

Michael S. Gazzaniga, Free Will Is an Illusion, but You’re Still Responsible for Your Actions

Paul Bloom, Free Will Does Not Exist. So What?

Patricia Churchland, The Big Questions: Do We Have Free Will?

C. The Disappearing Person

Joshua Greene & Jonathan Cohen, For the Law, Neuroscience Changes Nothing and Everything

Robert M. Sapolsky, The Frontal Cortex and the Criminal Justice System

Introduction

Neal Feigenson, *Brain Imaging and Courtroom Evidence: On the Admissibility and Persuasiveness of fMRI*

Jane Campbell Moriarty, *Flickering Admissibility: Neuroimaging Evidence in the U.S. Courts*

A. Frye and the “General Acceptance” Test

*B. The Federal Rules of Evidence*

Fed. R. Evid. 401
Fed. R. Evid. 402
Fed. R. Evid. 403
Fed. R. Evid. 702
Fed. R. Evid. 703
Fed. R. Evid. 704
Fed. R. Evid. 706

C. The Daubert Trilogy and the “Gatekeeper” Approach

*Daubert v. Merrell Dow Phar., Inc.*
*Gen. Elec. Co. v. Joiner*
*Kumho Tire Co., Ltd. v. Carmichael*

Michael J. Saks & David L. Faigman, *Expert Evidence After Daubert*

D. Criminal Sentencing: The Case of Grady Nelson

State of Florida, Motion to Exclude Testimony Regarding Quantitative Electroencephalograms (QEEG)

Defendant’s Motion Opposing Frye Hearing


Direct Examination of Dr. Thatcher by Defense Attorney Terry Lenamon

Testimony of Dr. Epstein, Questions by Assistant State Attorney Mendez

E. Neuroscience Evidence in Non-Criminal Contexts

*Ross v. Schrantz*
*LaMasa v. Bachman*

F. Effect of Brain Imaging Evidence on Judges and Jurors


Adina L. Roskies, Nicholas J. Schweitzer & Michael J. Saks, *Neuroimages in Court: Less Biasing Than Feared*

**PART 3**

**FUNDAMENTALS OF COGNITIVE NEUROSCIENCE**

7. Brain Structure and Brain Function

Introduction

A. Orientation and Location in the Brain
B. Subsystems of the Nervous System
9. **Limits and Cautions** 245
   
   **Introduction** 245
   
   A. General Limits and Cautions 247
      1. Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging 247
         - Owen D. Jones et al., *Brain Imaging for Legal Thinkers: A Guide for the Perplexed* 247
      2. Electroencephalography 250
   
   B. Methodological and Analytical Limitations of fMRI 254
      1. Limitations in Measurement 254
      2. Limitations of fMRI Analysis Procedures 256
         a. The Dangers of Multiple Analysis Alternatives 256
         b. The Risk of False Positives in fMRI data 258
            - Matthew D. Lieberman et al., *Correlations in Social Neuroscience Aren’t Voodoo: A Reply to Vul et al.* 259
   
   C. Critical Perspectives 262
      - Judith G. Edersheim, Bruce H. Price & Jordan W. Smoller, *“Your Honor, My Genes Made Me Do It”* 262
      - Teneille Brown & Emily Murphy, *Through a Scanner Darkly: Functional Neuroimaging as Evidence of a Criminal Defendant’s Past Mental States* 263

**PART 4**

**CORE THEMES IN LAW AND NEUROSCIENCE** 267

**THE INJURED BRAIN** 269

**10. Brain Death** 269
    
    **Introduction** 269
    
    A. Defining Death 270
       1. Historical Perspective 270
          - Elizabeth Price Foley, *The Law of Life and Death* 270
       2. Defining Brain Death 272
          - Report of the Ad Hoc Committee of the Harvard Medical School to Examine the Definition of Brain Death: A Definition of Irreversible Coma 272
       3. Uniform Determination of Death Act 273
          - Uniform Determination of Death Act 273
          - 10 NYCRR §400.16 (2013) Section 400.16. Determination of Death 273
    
    B. Clinical Determination of Brain Death 276
       - Eelco Wijdicks, *The Case Against Confirmatory Tests for Determining Brain Death in Adults* 276
    
    C. Coma, Vegetative State, and Minimally Conscious State 277
       - Joseph J. Fins, *Brain Injury: The Vegetative and Minimally Conscious States* 278
       - Ana Smith Itlis & Mark J. Cherry, *Death Revisited, Rethinking Death and the Dead Donor Rule* 281
D. Brain Death in Court
   1. Criminal Context
      People v. Eulo
      288
   2. Civil Context
      293
E. Neuroscience Perspectives on Brain Death
   Adrian M. Owen et al., A New Era of Coma and Consciousness
      Science
      298
   Nicholas D. Schiff et al., Behavioural Improvements with Thalamic
      Stimulation After Severe Traumatic Brain Injury
      301

11. Brain Injury
   Introduction
   A. Legal Duties, Assumption of Risk, and Brain Injury
      1. Amateur Sports
         Parker v. S. Broadway Athletic Club
         305
      2. Professional Football
         Carl Zimmer, The Brain: What Happens to a Linebacker’s Neurons?
         309
         Complaint at 4-19, In Re National Football League Players’
         Concussion Injury Litigation
         312
         Reply Memorandum of Defendant at 1-12, In Re National
         Football League Players’ Concussion Injury Litigation
         314
   B. Defining Brain Injury
      National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke &
      National Institute of Health, Traumatic Brain Injury: Hope
      Through Research
      317
      Doug Bodin et al., Pediatric and Adolescent
      Concussion: Diagnosis, Management, and Outcomes
      318
      Julie Louise Gerberding & Sue Binder, Report to Congress
      on Traumatic Brain Injury in the United States: Steps to Prevent
      a Serious Public Health Problem
      319
   C. Proving Brain Injury
      1. Mild Traumatic Brain Injury
         David L. Goldin, “Mild” Brain Injury Litigation: Making
         the Invisible Visible
         321
      2. The Use of Brain Science in Proving Brain Injury
         Shana De Caro & Michael V. Kaplen, Current Issues in
         Neurolaw
         324
         David B. Arciniegas, Clinical Electrophysiologic Assessments
         and Mild Traumatic Brain Injury: State-of-the-Science
         and Implications for Clinical Practice
         327
   D. Proving Causation
      Hendrix v. Evenflo
      330

12. Pain and Distress
   Introduction
   A. Pain in Law
      Luchansky v. J. V. Parish, Inc.
      336
      Wiltz v. Barnhart
      337
B. Pain in Neuroscience 343

1. Defining Pain 343
   National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, Pain: Hope Through Research 343

2. Detecting and Measuring Pain 345
   A. Vania Apkarian, The Brain in Chronic Pain: Clinical Implications 345

3. Critical Perspectives on Neuroimaging of Pain 350
   Sean C. Mackey, Central Neuroimaging of Pain 352

C. Emotional Harm and Mental Injury 353

1. Distinguishing “Bodily” and “Mental” Injuries 354
   Garrison v. Bickford 354
   Allen v. Bloomfield Hills Sch. Dist. 355

2. Damages and Mitigation 361
   Francis X. Shen, Monetizing Memory Science: Neuroscience and the Future of PTSD Litigation 361
   Adam J. Kolber, Therapeutic Forgetting: The Legal and Ethical Implications of Memory Dampening 362

3. Comparative Perspectives 364
   Betsy J. Grey, Neuroscience and Emotional Harm in Tort Law: Rethinking the American Approach to Free-Standing Emotional Distress Claims 364

D. The Special Case of Fetal Pain 367

Susan J. Lee et al., Fetal Pain: A Systematic Multidisciplinary Review of the Evidence 368
Testimony Before the United States Congress 368
Louisiana Revised Statutes §40: 1299.30.1. Pain-Capable Unborn Child Protection Act 369
Planned Parenthood of Indiana, Inc. v. Comm’r of Indiana State Dep’t of Health 370

THE THINKING AND FEELING BRAIN 375

13. Memory 375

Introduction 375

A. Organization and Function of Human Memory 376
   O. Carter Snead, Memory and Punishment 376
   Daniel L. Schacter, The Seven Sins of Memory: Insights from Psychology and Cognitive Neuroscience 382

B. Eyewitness Memory in Court 389
   State v. Henderson 389
   Perry v. New Hampshire 395

C. False Memories 403
   State v. Michaels 404
Daniel M. Bernstein & Elizabeth F. Loftus, *How to Tell If a Particular Memory Is True or False* 409

D. Gross-Racial Identification 412
   *Smith v. State*

14. **Emotions** 421
   
   A. The Emotional Brain 422
   

   B. The Emotional Defendant 427
   
   *State v. Thornton*
   
   *State v. Quick*

   C. The Emotional Juror 433
   
   *Booth v. Maryland*
   
   *Payne v. Tennessee*


   D. The Emotional Judge 444

   Nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to be an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States: Hearing Before the S. Comm. on the Judiciary 444

   E. The Emotional Legislator 447

15. **Lie Detection** 449
   
   A. The Polygraph 452

   1. History of the Polygraph 452

   Henry T. Greely & Judy Illes, *Neuroscience Based Lie Detection: The Urgent Need for Regulation* 452

   2. Polygraph’s Use in Court 453

   *State v. Lyon*

   *United States v. Scheffer*

   3. Polygraph Use in National Defense 459

   Office of Counterintelligence, Department of Energy, Polygraph Examination Regulations 459

   B. Neuroscience-Based Lie Detection 461

   1. Lie Detection Using Electroencephalography (EEG) 461

   Testimony of Dr. Lawrence Farwell in *Harrington v. State* 463


   J. Peter Rosenfeld et al., *Simple, Effective Countermeasures to P300-Based Tests of Detection Of Concealed Information* 471
2. Lie Detection Using fMRI
Francis X. Shen & Owen D. Jones, *Brain Scans as Evidence: Truths, Proofs, Lies, and Lessons*
*United States v. Semrau*
David D. Langleben et al., *Brain Activity During Simulated Deception: An Event-Related Functional Magnetic Resonance Study*
Joshua D. Greene & Joseph M. Paxton, *Patterns of Neural Activity Associated With Honest And Dishonest Moral Decisions*

3. Comparative Perspective: Brain-Based Lie Detection in Indian Courts
*Maharashtra v. Sharma & Khandelwal*
*Selvi v. Karnataka*

C. Critical Perspectives
Frederick Schauer, *Neuroscience, Lie-Detection, and the Law*
Henry T. Greely, *Premarket Approval Regulation for Lie Detection: An Idea Whose Time May Be Coming*
Dov Fox, *Brain Imaging and the Bill of Rights: Memory Detection Technologies and American Criminal Justice*

16. Judging
Introduction
A. The Neuroscience of Legal Reasoning
Jessica M. Salerno & Bette L. Bottoms, *Emotional Evidence and Jurors’ Judgments: The Promise of Neuroscience for Informing Psychology and Law*

B. Neuroscience and Racist Judgments
Jeffrey Kluger, *Race and the Brain*
Harrison A. Korn, Micah A. Johnson & Marvin M. Chun, *Neurolaw: Differential Brain Activity for Black and White Faces Predicts Damage Awards in Hypothetical Employment Discrimination Cases*
Amy Wax & Philip E. Tetlock, *We Are All Racists at Heart*

THE DEVELOPING AND ADDICTED BRAIN
17. Adolescent Brains
Introduction
A. The Adolescent Brain
National Research Council, *Reforming Juvenile Justice: A Developmental Approach*
B. The Administration of Juvenile Justice
1. Historical Background
   Elizabeth S. Scott & Laurence Steinberg, *Adolescence and the Regulation of Youth Crime*
2. Competency

Elizabeth S. Scott & Thomas Grisso, *Developmental Incompetence, Due Process, and Juvenile Justice Reform*

MacArthur Foundation Research Network on Adolescent Development and Juvenile Justice, *Adolescent Legal Competence in Court*

Barry C. Feld et al., *Adolescent Competence and Culpability: Implications of Neuroscience for Juvenile Justice Administration*

3. Juvenile Transfer

*United States v. Juvenile Male #2*

C. Adolescents, Neuroscience, and Criminal Responsibility

*State v. Andrews*

*Miller v. Alabama*

D. Critical Perspectives

Laurence Steinberg, *Are Adolescents Less Mature Than Adults? Minors’ Access to Abortion, the Juvenile Death Penalty, and the Alleged APA “Flip-Flop”*

Terry A. Maroney, *The False Promise of Adolescent Brain Science in Juvenile Justice*

Francis X. Shen, *Neurolegislation & Juvenile Justice*

18. Addicted Brains

A. Defining Addiction and Dependence

American Psychiatric Association, *Substance Related and Addiction Disorders*

Nora D. Volkow et al., *Addiction Circuitry in the Human Brain*

Sally Satel & Scott O. Lilienfeld, *Brainwashed: The Seductive Appeal of Mindless Neuroscience*

Peg O’Conner, *The Fallacy of the “Hijacked Brain”*

B. Addiction and the Law

*Robinson v. California*

*Powell v. Texas*

*Traynor v. Turnage*

Richard J. Bonnie, *Responsibility for Addiction*

C. The Neurobiology of Addiction

Steven E. Hyman, *The Neurobiology of Addiction: Implications for Voluntary Control of Behavior*

Stephen J. Morse, *Voluntary Control of Behavior and Responsibility*

D. Policy Perspectives

1. Drug Policy, Treatment, and Rehabilitation

2. Drug Courts 625
   State v. Little 625
   Morris B. Hoffman, Problem-Solving Courts and the Psycholegal Error 626

PART 5
THE FUTURE 631

19. Cognitive Enhancement 633
   Introduction 633
   A. Defining Enhancement 635
      Nick Bostrom & Anders Sandberg, Cognitive Enhancement: Methods, Ethics, Regulatory Challenges 635
   B. Does Enhancement Work? 636
      M. Elizabeth Smith & Martha J. Farah, Are Prescription Stimulants “Smart Pills”? The Epidemiology and Cognitive Neuroscience of Prescription Stimulant Use by Normal Healthy Individuals 637
      Roy Hamilton et al., Rethinking the Thinking Cap 640
   C. Enhancing Performance and Manipulating Memory 643
      1. Enhancing Performance 643
         Editorial Board, Using Adderall Is a Form of Cheating 643
         Christopher Meyer, Let Students Use Adderall 644
      2. Manipulating Memory 646
         a. Memory Dampening 647
            Adam J. Kolber, Therapeutic Forgetting: The Legal and Ethical Implications of Memory Dampening 647
         b. Memory Enhancement 650
            Henry T. Greely et al., Towards Responsible Use of Cognitive-Enhancing Drugs by the Healthy 650
   D. The Ethics of Enhancement 654
      The President’s Council on Bioethics, Beyond Therapy: Biotechnology and the Pursuit of Happiness 654
      Henry T. Greely, Remarks on Human Biological Enhancement 658

20. Brain-Machine Interface and Law 667
   Introduction 667
   A. Development of Brain-Machine Interfaces and Neuroprosthetics 669
      Teenager Moves Video Icons Just by Imagination 669
      Parag G. Patil & Dennis A. Turner, The Development of Brain-Machine Interface Neuroprosthetic Devices 670
   B. Ethical and Legal Implications of Neuroprosthetics 673
      Stephen S. Wu & Marc Goodman, Neural Implants and Their Legal Implications 674